



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,983	02/20/2004	Jessamine Lee	H0498.70190US00	4383
86110 7590 11/20/2009 Harvard University & Medical School c/o Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210-2206				
EXAMINER				
BOYER, RANDY				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1797				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/20/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 10/783,983	Applicant(s) LEE ET AL.
		Examiner RANDY BOYER	Art Unit 1797

All Participants:

(1) Randy Boyer.

(2) Jessamine Lee, agent for Applicant.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.
(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
24-27

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Glenn A Caldarola/
Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner noted apparent typographical errors in Applicant's claims 24-27 filed 3 November 2009. Specifically, claims 24-27 specify various claim dimensions in meters where it is believed Applicant actually intended micrometers (see Applicant's claims 24-27 submitted 15 April 2009). Applicant's agent questioned Examiner with regard to the Bohn reference (US 7,220,345). Specifically, Applicant's agent questioned whether the Office had made any decision with respect to potentially interfering subject matter between Applicant's claims and that of Bohn. Examiner noted that no conclusive determination had been made as of yet, but indicated that at least claim 19 of Bohn was potentially interfering with claims 1 and 13 of the instant application. Applicant's agent agreed to timely file a supplementary amendment making the necessary corrections to claims 24-27.

RB

/Glenn A Caldarola/
Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797